

In the third step, the position vector  $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$  is determined from already known  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$ . With already known  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_i = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{g}_i$ , we obtain the differential equations

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{,i} = \bar{\mathbf{g}}_i. \quad (9)$$

Integrability conditions  $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{,ij} - \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{,ji} = \mathbf{0}$  of (9) lead to the conditions  $(\bar{G}_{ij}^k - \bar{G}_{ji}^k)\bar{\mathbf{g}}_k = \mathbf{0}$ , which geometrically mean [4] that the torsion tensor  $\bar{S}_{ij}^k = \bar{G}_{ij}^k - \bar{G}_{ji}^k$  of the deformed configuration should vanish. Since  $\bar{G}_{ij}^k$  are calculated as in (4)<sub>1</sub>, the conditions are identically satisfied for any symmetric  $E_{ij}$ .

At the subsequent parts of the integration path  $P_0P'P''P$ , the solution of (9) can be given through quadratures in the form

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}} = \bar{\mathbf{p}}_0 + \int_0^{\theta^1} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_1(\xi, 0, 0) d\xi + \int_0^{\theta^2} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_2(\theta^1, \eta, 0) d\eta + \int_0^{\theta^3} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_3(\theta^1, \theta^2, \zeta) d\zeta. \quad (10)$$

Combining (10) and (8) together with  $\mathbf{u}_0 \equiv \bar{\mathbf{p}}_0 - \mathbf{p}$ , we obtain the final relation

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \mathbf{R}_0 \left[ \int_0^{\theta^1} \mathbf{R}_1(\xi, 0, 0) \mathbf{U}(\xi, 0, 0) \mathbf{g}_1(\xi, 0, 0) d\xi + \mathbf{R}_1 \int_0^{\theta^2} \mathbf{R}_2(\theta^1, \eta, 0) \mathbf{U}(\theta^1, \eta, 0) \mathbf{g}_2(\theta^1, \eta, 0) d\eta + \right. \\ \left. + \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \int_0^{\theta^3} \mathbf{R}_3(\theta^1, \theta^2, \zeta) \mathbf{U}(\theta^1, \theta^2, \zeta) \mathbf{g}_3(\theta^1, \theta^2, \zeta) d\zeta \right]. \quad (11)$$

The displacement field  $\mathbf{u}$  is determined from the strain field  $\mathbf{E}$  by the relation (11) to within a rigid-body translation  $\mathbf{u}_0$  and a rigid-body rotation  $\mathbf{R}_0$ . In case of infinitesimal deformation the relation (11) can be reduced [9] to the formula of CESARO [7].

## References

- 1 TRUESDELL, C.; NOLL, W., The non-linear field theory, in: Handbuch der Physik, vol. III/3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York 1965.
- 2 PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W., Finite rotations and Lagrangean description in the non-linear theory of shells, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa/Poznań 1979.
- 3 SHIELD, R. T., The rotation associated with large strains, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **23**, 3, 483–491 (1973).
- 4 LOVELOCK, D.; RUND, H., Tensors, differential forms and variational principles, J. Wiley & Sons, New York 1975.
- 5 GANTMACHER, F. R., The theory of matrices, Chelsea P. Co., New York 1960.
- 6 GORB, G. V.; KUDRYASHOVA, L. V.; STEPANOVA, L. V., Classical problems of rigid-body dynamics, development and present state (in Russian), Naukova Dumka, Kiev 1978.
- 7 CESARO, E. Sulle formole del Volterra, fondamentali nella teoria della distorsioni elastiche, Rend. Napoli, **12**, 3a, 311–321 (1906).
- 8 BADUR, J.; PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W., On non-classical forms of compatibility conditions in continuum mechanics, Proc. IV. Symp. on Trends in Appl. Pure Math. to Mech., Bratislava 1981 (in print)
- 9 PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W.; BADUR, J., Finite rotations in the description of continuum deformation (submitted to Int. J. Engg. Sci.)

*Anschrift:* Doc. dr habil. inż. WOJCIECH PIETRASZKIEWICZ, Instytut Maszyn Przepływowych PAN, ul. Gen. J. Fiszerza 14, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

ZAMM 62, T 156–T 158 (1982)

W. PIETRASZKIEWICZ / M. SZWABOWICZ

## Hu-Washizu Variational Functional for the Lagrangian Geometrically Nonlinear Theory of Thin Elastic Shells

The classical version of the Lagrangian geometrically nonlinear theory of thin elastic shells [1–3] allowed global variational formulation, in terms of various free functionals, within moderate rotations only [4]. In order to allow global variational formulation of the Lagrangian nonlinear theory of shells undergoing unrestricted (finite) rotations, some modified variables should be introduced [5].

Let the deformation of the shell middle surface be described by the usual surface strain tensor  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  and the modified tensor of change of curvature  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$  defined by

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} - a_{\alpha\beta}), \quad \chi_{\alpha\beta} = - \left( \sqrt{\frac{\bar{a}}{a}} \bar{b}_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta} \right) + b_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha}^{\alpha}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $a_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $b_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta}$  are components of metric and curvature tensors of the shell middle surface in the reference (undeformed) and in the deformed configurations  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , respectively, with determinants  $a = |a_{\alpha\beta}|$  and  $\bar{a} = |\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}|$ . By definition (1),  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  are quadratic polynomials and  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$  are third-order polynomials in the displacements

$\mathbf{u} = u^{\alpha} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha} + w \mathbf{n}$  where  $\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}$  are base vectors of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_2$  is the unit normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The deformation of the shell boundary element can be described entirely by the displacement vector  $\mathbf{u} = u_{,i} \mathbf{t}^i + u_t \mathbf{t} + w \mathbf{n}$  of the undeformed boundary curve  $\ell$  and by the components along  $\ell$  of the unit normal to  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , the

vector  $\bar{\mathbf{n}} = n_\nu \mathbf{v} + n_t \mathbf{t} + n\mathbf{n}$ , where  $\mathbf{t}$  is the unit tangent to  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}$ . Under the KIRCHHOFF-LOVE constraints only  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $n_\nu$  are independent variables, while  $n_t = n_t(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$  and  $n = n(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$  must be satisfied.

Let us consider now a thin shell in equilibrium. For any additional infinitesimal displacement field  $\delta \mathbf{u} = \delta u^\alpha \mathbf{a}_\alpha + \delta w \mathbf{n}$  subject to geometric constraints, the internal virtual work (IVW) should be equal to the external virtual work (EVW). Here IVW is performed by the internal Lagrangian stress resultants  $N^{\alpha\beta}$  and the stress couples  $M^{\alpha\beta}$  on the variations of their conjugate strain measures. EVW is performed by the external surface load  $\mathbf{p} = p^\alpha \mathbf{a}_\alpha + p\mathbf{n}$ , the resultant boundary force  $\mathbf{N} = N_\nu \mathbf{v} + N_t \mathbf{t} + N\mathbf{n}$  and the resultant boundary couple  $\mathbf{k}$  on the variations of the corresponding displacement variables. From IVW = EVW we obtain the incremental Lagrangian principle of virtual displacements [1–3]

$$\iint_{\mathcal{M}} (N^{\alpha\beta} \delta \gamma_{\alpha\beta} + M^{\alpha\beta} \delta \chi_{\alpha\beta}) dA = \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dA + \int_{\mathcal{C}_f} (\mathbf{N} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \mathbf{\Omega}_t) ds \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{\Omega}_t$  is the total finite rotation vector of the boundary.

Note, that  $\mathbf{k}$  is by definition tangent to the deformed middle surface along the boundary curve  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  and therefore depends upon the boundary deformation. In the Lagrangian shell theory it is more convenient [5] to replace  $\mathbf{k}$  by the equivalent external boundary static moment  $\mathbf{H} = H_\nu \mathbf{v} + H_t \mathbf{t} + H\mathbf{n}$ , where  $\mathbf{k} = \bar{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{H}$ . Then, after transformations, (2) takes the form

$$-\iint_{\mathcal{M}} (\mathbf{T}^\beta|_\beta + \mathbf{p}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dA + \int_{\mathcal{C}_f} [(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}^*) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + (M - M^*) \delta n_\nu] ds + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{F}_k - \mathbf{F}_k^*) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_k = 0 \quad (3)$$

where expressions for  $\mathbf{T}^\beta = \mathbf{T}^\beta(N^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{u})$ ,  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(N^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$ ,  $M = M(M^{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_k = \mathbf{F}_k(M^{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}^* = \mathbf{P}^*(N, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$ ,  $M^* = M^*(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$  and  $\mathbf{F}_k^* = \mathbf{F}_k^*(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$  are given in [5].

From (3) follow the equilibrium equations  $\mathbf{T}^\beta|_\beta + \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the static boundary conditions  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^*$  and  $M = M^*$  along  $\mathcal{C}_f$  and the static corner conditions  $\mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{F}_i^*$  in each corner point  $M_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}_f$ . The relations are satisfied for unrestricted strains and rotations, an arbitrary material behaviour and arbitrary external surface and boundary loads.

Under small elastic strains and within the first-approximation shell theory there exists a quadratic shell strain energy function  $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} H^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} (\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{h^2}{12} \chi_{\alpha\beta} \chi_{\lambda\mu})$ , where  $H^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu}$  are the components of the modified elasticity tensor [2].

In this case the specific internal virtual work in (2) can be expressed as a variation of the shell strain energy function:  $N^{\alpha\beta} \delta \gamma_{\alpha\beta} + M^{\alpha\beta} \delta \chi_{\alpha\beta} = \delta \Sigma(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}, \chi_{\alpha\beta})$ . Let us also assume  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  to be dead, i.e. their directions to be constant during the shell deformation. In this case there exist potentials [5, 6] of the external loads  $\Phi(\mathbf{u}) = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  and  $\Psi(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu) = -\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{H} \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n})$  such that their variations constitute the specific external virtual work. Therefore, the incremental Lagrangian principle of virtual displacements (2) can be transformed into the global variational principle  $\delta I = 0$  for the functional

$$I = \iint_{\mathcal{M}} [\Sigma(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}, \chi_{\alpha\beta}) - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u}] dA - \int_{\mathcal{C}_f} [\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{H} \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n})] ds \quad (4)$$

where the strain-displacement relations  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u})$ ,  $\chi_{\alpha\beta} = \chi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u})$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , geometric boundary conditions  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^*$ ,  $n_\nu = n_\nu^*$  along  $\mathcal{C}_u$ , geometric corner conditions  $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i^*$  in each corner  $M_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and the geometric constraint relations  $n_t = n_t(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$ ,  $n = n(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu)$  along  $\mathcal{C}_f$  have to be imposed as subsidiary conditions.

Introducing the subsidiary conditions of  $I$  into the functional itself by means of the Lagrange multiplier method we obtain the free functional

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = & \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \{ \Sigma(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}, \chi_{\alpha\beta}) - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u} - N^{\alpha\beta} [\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u})] - M^{\alpha\beta} [\chi_{\alpha\beta} - \chi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u})] \} dA - \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{C}_f} \{ \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{H} \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n}) - \lambda_t [n_t - n_t(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu)] - \lambda [n - n(\mathbf{u}, n_\nu)] \} ds - \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{C}_u} \{ \mathbf{P} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^*) + M(n_\nu - n_\nu^*) \} ds - \sum_i \mathbf{F}_i \cdot (\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_i^*) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The functional  $I_1$  is defined in terms of the following independent free variables subject to variations: three displacements  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , four displacement variables  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $n_\nu$  along  $\mathcal{C}_u$ , three displacements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  in each corner  $M_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}_u$ , six strain components  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , six displacement variables  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $n_\nu$ ,  $n_t$ ,  $n$  along  $\mathcal{C}_f$ , six Lagrange multipliers  $N^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $M^{\alpha\beta}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , two Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_t$  and  $\lambda$  along  $\mathcal{C}_f$ , four Lagrange multipliers  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $M$  along  $\mathcal{C}_u$ , and three Lagrange multipliers  $\mathbf{F}_i$  in each corner point  $M_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}_u$ . The associated variational principle  $\delta I_1 = 0$  states that among all the possible values of the variables, which are not restricted by any subsidiary conditions, the actual solution renders the functional  $I_1$  stationary.

Taking the variation of  $I_1$  we obtain as its stationarity conditions all the basic relations: equilibrium equations, static boundary and corner conditions, strain-displacement relations, geometric boundary and corner conditions together with additional relations for the Lagrange multipliers: constitutive equations  $N^{\alpha\beta} = h H^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} \gamma_{\lambda\mu}$ ,  $M^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{h^2}{12} H^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} \chi_{\lambda\mu}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the definitions for  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $M$  along  $\mathcal{C}_u$ , the definitions for  $\mathbf{F}_i$  in  $M_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}_u$ , and the definitions  $\lambda_t = H_t$ ,  $\lambda = H$  along  $\mathcal{C}_f$ .

The functional  $I_1$  is the HU-WASHIZU variational functional for the Lagrangian geometrically nonlinear theory of thin elastic shells undergoing unrestricted rotations. Following [4] a number of other free functionals and associated Lagrangian variational principles may be generated.

## References

- 1 PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W., Introduction to the Non-Linear Theory of Shells, Ruhr-Universität, Mitt. Inst. f. Mech. Nr. 10, Bochum, Mai 1977, 1–154.
- 2 PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W., Finite Rotations and Lagrangian Description in the Non-Linear Theory of Shells, Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa-Poznań 1979.
- 3 PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W., Finite rotations in the non-linear theory of thin shells, in: Thin Shell Theory, New Trends and Applications, OLSZAK W., Ed., CISM Courses and Lectures No 240; Springer-Verlag, Wien-New York 1980, 153–208.
- 4 SCHMIDT, R.; PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W., Variational principles in the geometrically non-linear theory of shells undergoing moderate rotations, Ingenieur-Archiv, **50**, 3, 187–201 (1981).
- 5 PIETRASZKIEWICZ, W.; SZWABOWICZ, M., Entirely Lagrangian nonlinear theory of thin shells, Archives of Mechanics, **33**, 2 (1981), 273–288.
- 6 SZWABOWICZ, M., On the potential boundary loads in the nonlinear theory of thin shells, Trans. Inst. Fluid-Flow Mach. Gdańsk (in print).

*Anschrift:* Doc. dr hab. inż. WOJCIECH PIETRASZKIEWICZ and mgr inż. MAREK SZWABOWICZ, Instytut Maszyn Przepływowych PAN, ul. Gen. J. Fiszerza 14, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland.

ZAMM 62, T 158 – T 159 (1982)

N. POLIATZKY / W. MUSCHIK

## Gegenbeispiel von I. Müller, hyperbolische Wärmeleitungsgleichung und Objektivität in 4-dimensionaler Kontinuumstheorie

### Dreidimensionale Wärmeleitung

Es wird ein isotropes Material in einem Inertialsystem betrachtet. Für einen materiell angehefteten Ruhbeobachter  $\mathcal{B}$  ist daher die Matrix der Materialabbildung ein Vielfaches der Einheitsmatrix

$$L^{ik} = \lambda(x^\alpha) \delta^{ik}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1)$$

Wird das betrachtete Material gegen das Inertialsystem beschleunigt bewegt, so ermittelt ein materiell angehefteter Ruhbeobachter  $\mathcal{B}$  die Materialmatrix  $L'^{*ik}$ . Falls nun die HOOKE-POISSON-CAUCHY-Form des Prinzips der Objektivität gilt, folgt [1]

$$L'^{*ik} = L^{ik}, \quad (2)$$

d. h. beide Beobachter stellen isotropes Materialverhalten fest. Nun hat I. MÜLLER [2, 3] an einem mit einem idealen Gas gefüllten Zylinder, dessen Achse geheizt wird, durch kinetische Überlegungen gezeigt, daß die Wärmestromdichte für  $\mathcal{B}$  nicht mehr parallel zum Temperaturgradienten sein kann (es tritt eine Azimutalkomponente der Wärmestromdichte auf). Daher ist die HPC-Form der Objektivität im Gegensatz zur ZJ-Form (Kovarianz) [1] nicht gültig. Auch in einer 4-dimensionalen Formulierung läßt sich wegen der komponentenweisen Gleichheit in (2) die HPC-Objektivität nicht retten.

### Vierdimensionale Wärmeleitung

Die Motivierung zu einer 4-dimensionalen Formulierung der Kontinuumsphysik besteht in ihrer Kovarianz, da sich die ZJ-Objektivität nur in kovarianten Theorien formulieren läßt [1]. Während z. B. die Geschwindigkeit im Dreidimensionalen gegen EUKLIDISCHE Transformation bekanntlich nicht kovariant ist,

$$v^{*\alpha} = Q_\beta^\alpha v^\beta + \dot{Q}_\beta^\alpha x^\beta + \dot{c}^\alpha, \quad (3)$$

gilt dies aber im Vierdimensionalen

$$\begin{pmatrix} v^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & \dot{Q}X + \dot{C} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

oder in Komponenten für die 4-Geschwindigkeit

$$z^a = Q_b^a z^b, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, 4. \quad (5)$$

Für einen Ruhbeobachter  $\mathcal{B}$  wird wegen  $v^\alpha = 0$  die 4-Geschwindigkeit gemäß (4)

$$\mathcal{B} : z = e_4. \quad (6)$$

Mit

$$\nabla = (\partial/\partial x^\alpha, \partial/\partial t) \quad (7)$$